

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,238	12/02/2005	Evgeny Evgenyevich Fesenko	U 015763-7	2731
140 7590 04/23/2007 LADAS & PARRY 26 WEST 61ST STREET			EXAMINER	
			MITRA, RITA	
NEW YORK, NY 10023		,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1656	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS		04/23/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/534,238	FESENKO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Rita Mitra	1656	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DESTRICTION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH: te, cause the application to become ABAN	TION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 L</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-36</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-36</u> are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by e drawing(s) be held in abeyance ction is required if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Apportity documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	·		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nmary (PTO-413) fail Date mal Patent Application	

DETAILED ACTION

Applicants' Preliminary Amendment filed on December 8, 2005 is acknowledged.

Amendment to the specification is noted. Claims 1-11 have been cancelled. New claims 12-36 have been added. Therefore, claims 12-36 are under consideration.

Election/Restriction

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in response to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- I. Claims 12-23 drawn to a pharmaceutical composition comprising as an active principle a peroxiredoxin and/or a fragment thereof and optionally dihydrolipoic acid and a pharmaceutically acceptable additive, wherein said peroxiredoxin is human peroxiredoxin Prx VIhum.
- II. Claims 24-27, drawn to a method of enhancing the antioxidant protection of mammals, comprising contacting the pharmaceutical composition of claim 12 with the intercellular space of a tissue, organ or a whole organism of a mammal, wherein contacting of the pharmaceutical composition is effected with a different therapeutic agent which is administered to said mammal.

Art Unit: 1656

III.

Claims 28-33, drawn to a method for producing a pharmaceutical composition comprising providing a polypeptide of a peroxiredoxin or of a fragment thereof, wherein said polypeptide is prepared recombinantly by expressing DNA of SEQ ID NO: 1 that encodes an amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2.

IV.

Claims 34-36, drawn to a nucleic acid molecule which encodes peroxiredoxin or a fragment thereof, wherein the nucleic acid comprises a nucleotide sequence encoding the amino acid sequence of natural human protein of peroxiredoxin Prx VI (SEQ ID NO: 1) or an N-terminal DNA fragment of peroxiredoxin Prx VIhum (SEQ ID NO: 3); recombinant plasmid DNA and host cell or a cell line.

The claims of these groups I-IV are directed to different inventions, which are not linked to form a single general concept under PCT Rule 13.1, because, under PCT Rule 13.2 they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Applicants' invention, considered as a whole, lacks any technical feature that defines a contribution over the prior art (see 'Y' reference in international search report, Fujjii et al. Eur. J. Biochem, 268 (2), pp 218-224, Jan, 2001). The reference describes expression of peroxiredoxin VI in rat lung and kidney after birth implies an antioxidative role.

Art Unit: 1656

Because the inventive compound was known at the time this application was filed, persons skilled in the art could easily select different species of peroxiredoxin (PrxI- PrxVI) as the peroxiredoxin in the reference and clone the DNA that encodes the peroxiredoxin protein and prepare the protein by expressing the DNA of SEQ ID NO: 1 that encodes an amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2. Moreover, persons skilled in the art could easily predict the effect of the use of the product. This renders the invention of group I obvious over the prior art.

Accordingly, the claims are not so linked by a special technical feature within the meaning of PCT Rule 13.2 so as to form a single inventive concept and lack of unity is deemed proper.

Species Election

For Group II, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed therapeutic agent: a) antibacterial, antivirus, antifungal, antihistaminic preparations, hormones; vitamins; or cytokines; b) an enzyme; c)a low-molecular weight compound; d) a preparation for transplantation or cryopreservation of organs and e) a biologically active protein (claim 27). The species are independent or distinct because they are structurally and functionally divergent and would require separate non-overlapping searches.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed sub-genus for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 26 is generic. Should Group II be elected, applicants are required to select single agent from claim 27 a), b), c), d) or e).

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable

thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicants are reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Applicants are advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Inquiries

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rita Mitra whose telephone number is 571-272-0954. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 10:00 am-7:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Jon Weber can be reached on 571-272-0925. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/534,238

Art Unit: 1656

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rita Mitra, Ph.D.

April 14, 2007

KATHLEEN KERR BRAGDON, PH.D. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER